United States Patent and Trademark Office UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov FEB 27 2007 APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/845,960 05/01/2001 Hideo Takiguchi 862.1336 D1 5111 02/23/2007 **EXAMINER** FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NGUYEN, PHU K NEW YORK, NY 10112 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2628

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

3 MONTHS 02/23/2007 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

OIPE		
<del>-/</del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
FEB 2 7 2007	09/845,960	TAKIGUCHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
PARENT	Phu K. Nguyen	2628
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	V 10 0ET TO EVOIDE 11	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN (36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a. cause the application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J	anuary 2007.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 126-147 is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>126-147</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		• .
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er	
, ·	er. cepted or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ite have been received	
1. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>		
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ot received. The Ngya
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	tor the certified depice in	PHU K. NGUYEN
		PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2300
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other: _	·

Art Unit: 2628

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 146 and 147 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In claim 146, the claimed "program" per se; i.e., the descriptive function of the instruction, is not physical "thing." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

In claim 147, the claimed "program" per se; i.e., the descriptive function of the instruction, is not physical "thing." Although the claimed program is stored in a computer storage medium, but they are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 126-147 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ENOKIDA et al. (6,335,746) in view of Perlin et al.

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 126 requires a hierarchical data display method of displaying hierarchically managed data items, comprising the steps of: setting in a background indicating a parent hierarchical level, a first area in which parent data item(s) belonging to a parent hierarchical level is displayed and a second area in which child data item(s) belonging to a child hierarchical level is displayed and different from the parent data items is displayed, so that the first and second areas are displayed exclusively and without overlapping each other in a display area of every hierarchical level; and controlling a display of parent and child data icons respectively representing the data items in each of the areas. Perlin et al. Teach the setting in a background indicating a hierarchical level as the Pad information plane, as explained in the abstract', a first area in which data item(s) belonging to a parent hierarchical level, being the screen, as explained at section 1.2 at page 57., and a second area in which data items belonging to a child hierarchical level is displayed, corresponding to Perlin's portals, at page 57 section 1 .2, in a display area of every hierarchical level, at the abstract, and at page 59 figure 1; and controlling the representations, at pages 57-58. Perlin et al. further teach hierarchical relationships between the various areas or portals at least at page 57 section 1 Introduction, at page 61 section 3.2 Display Items, at page 62 section 5.3, Hierarchical Text Editor where the PAD system is characterized as a hierarchical domain, at page 63 sections 5.5 Multiple Narrative Paths and 5.6 Cooperative Pad Applications. While Perlin et al. teach most claimed features as outlined above, it is noted that the first and second areas are displayed exclusively and without overlapping each other, and data icons representing data items while separating the parent and

Art Unit: 2628

child data icons into the exclusive areas is not explicitly taught. However, Enokida teaches these features at figure 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the references because, as Enokida et al. shows at figure 5, the size adjusted images are displayed exclusively on the screen.

Claims 136, 146 and 147 are rejected under the same rationale applied to the rejection of claim 126.

Claims 135 and 145 require a size of each data icon is determined corresponding to the number of the data items. Perlin et al. Teach this at figures 2-4.

Claims 127 and 137 require said sizes of said division areas are determined on the basis of the number of data items belonging to one level and the number of data items belonging to child levels. This can be seen at figures 2-4 at Perlin et al. Note in figure 3 that 1992 level has a size according to the number of months (child levels) that it contains', and the child levels have a size according to the month identifiers which they contain.

Claims 128 and 138 require when there are a plurality of child levels, a display area for each child level is determined according to the number of data items belonging to levels subordinate to said child level. Perlin et al. Teach this at figures 2-4.

Art Unit: 2628

Claims 129, and 139 require said child levels are displayed in a background expressing a parent level, and said background is selected and displayed so that a hierarchical depth can be distinguished. Perlin et al. Teach this at figures 2-4 and at the abstract.

Claims 130 and 140 require as said hierarchical depth increases, said background is displayed in a deeper color.

This is inherent regarding any color because, by definition, a deeper hierarchical depth will be associated with some color, the color being deeper by virtue of being at a deeper hierarchical depth.

Claims 133 and 143 require a step of zooming in a desired level by performing a given operation after designating a display area for said desired level; zooming out a level zoomed by performing said given operation so as to display a parent level; zooming in a desired level by performing a given operation, wherein when a zoom out is instructed in the desired level, the display of items are controlled so that data items belonging to parent levels) of the desired level are displayed', wherein when said zoom-in means is selected, said zoom direction is a direction toward a deeper position in a hierarchy, and when said zoom-out means is selected, said zoom direction is a direction toward a shallower position in said hierarchy', wherein a level or data icon is zoomed in, panned, or zoomed out by varying said icon display size and data icon display position.

Art Unit: 2628

Perlin et al. Teach these features as semantic zooming and navigating using portals, at the whole article.

Claims 132 and 142 require displaying the detailed contents of a desired level by performing a given operation after designating a display area for said desired level; and zooming in a desired level by performing a given operation, wherein when a zoom up is instructed in the desired level, the detailed contents of the desired level are displayed. Perlin et al. Teaches this at figures 2-4.

Claims 134 and 144 require judging whether a remaining area is left in which the first and second areas have not been set, wherein the first and second areas are set in the remaining area when the remaining area is left. Perlin et al. Teach this at pages 57-58 at section 1 .2. Perlin et al. teach the features of claims 131 and 141 at figs. 3 and 4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu K. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272 7645. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (571) 272 7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phu K. Nguyen February 16, 2007

PHU.K. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2300

Notice of References Cited				Application/Control (19/845,960)		Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination TAKIGUCHI ET AL.		
					Examiner Phu K. Nge	FEB 2 7 2007	Art Unit 2628	Page 1 of 1
				U.S. PA	TENT DOCU			
*	Ī	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY			Name		Classification
*	Α	US-6,335,746	01-2002	Enokida	a et al.			715/839
<u> </u>	В	US-						
	c	US-			******			
-	D	US-						
	E	US-			0		·	ia .
	F	US-						
$\vdash$	G	US-						
	н	US-						
	1	US-						
	J	US-						
	к	US-						
	L	US-						
	М	US-						
				FOREIGN	PATENT DO	CUMENTS		
*		Document Number	Date MM-YYYY		Country	Name		Classification
		Country Code-Number-Kind Code	<del> </del>					
	N	Country Code-Number-Kind Code						
	N O	Country Code-Number-Nind Code						
	_	Country Code-Number-Nind Code						
	0	Country Code-Number-Nind Code						
	O P	Country Code-Number-Nind Code						
	0 P Q	Country Code-Number-Nind Code						
	O P Q R	Country Code-Number-Nind Code						
	O P Q R				ATENT DOCU	IMENTS		
*	O P Q R						e, Pertinent Pages)	
	O P Q R					IMENTS	e, Pertinent Pages)	
	O P Q R S T					IMENTS	e, Pertinent Pages)	
	O P Q R S T					IMENTS	e, Pertinent Pages)	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Х

Organization IC 2600 Bldg/Room\_ United States Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300 - -

